1	JAMES P. BENNETT (CA SBN 65179)		
2	JBennett@mofo.com STACEY M. SPRENKEL (CA SBN 241689)		
3	SSprenkel@mofo.com BEN PATTERSON (CA SBN 268696) BPatterson@mofo.com GRANT C. SCHRADER (CA SBN 273498)		
4			
5	GSchrader@mofo.com		
	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street		
6	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000		
7	Facsimile: 415.268.7522		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Vietnam Veterans of America; Swords to		
9	Plowshares: Veterans Rights Organization; Bruce Price; Franklin D. Rochelle; Larry		
10	Meirow; Eric P. Muth; David C. Dufrane;		
11	Kathryn McMillan -Forrest; Tim Michael Josephs; and William Blazinski		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	OAKLAND DIVISION		
16			
17	VIETNAM VETERANS OF AMERICA et al.,	Case No. CV 09-0037-CW	
18	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] INJUNCTION	
	v.	PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S FEBRUARY 8, 2016 ORDER	
19	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY	Complaint filed January 7, 2009	
20	et al.,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[PROPOSED] INJUNCTION PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S FEBR Case No. CV 09-0037-CW sf-3622897	EUARY 8, 2016 ORDER	

[PROPOSED] INJUNCTION REGARDING MEDICAL CARE

WHEREAS, this Court has certified a class of individuals who, while serving in the armed forces, were test subjects in any testing program in which humans were exposed to a chemical or biological substance for the purpose of studying or observing the effects of such exposure;

WHEREAS, those test subjects were exposed to or participated in experiments involving such chemical and biological substances as sarin, VX, BZ, mustard gas, LSD, tularemia, and O-Fever;

WHEREAS, test subjects have various health ailments including Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Hallucinogen Persisting Perception Disorder, neurological diseases, sleep disorders, and skin scarring, among others;

WHEREAS, this Court and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has held that Army Regulation 70-25 ("AR 70-25") legally requires the Defendant Department of the Army (the "Army") to provide class members with medical care, not only during the course of the experiment but also after the experiment has ended;

WHEREAS, by promulgating AR 70-25, the Army undertook to provide medical care, medical follow up and tracking to test subjects;

WHEREAS, the Army has for decades failed to comply with those regulation requirements and thereby, unlawfully withheld medical care;

WHEREAS, since the time that the Army contends the testing programs concluded in 1975, the Army has admittedly failed to provide medical care pursuant to AR 70-25, and also failed to track and monitor test subjects and failed to develop a registry database for medical follow-up as required by AR 70-25, thereby making causation determinations unfairly difficult for class members in need of medical care;

WHEREAS, sitting as a court of equity in this certified class action when formulating this injunction, the Court hereby intends to provide effective relief to the class members who have been unlawfully denied medical care and the other intended benefits of AR 70-25 over the course of decades, and good cause appearing therefor.

3

9

6

13

14

17

21

28

26

follows: 1. This injunction pertains only to individuals who, while serving in the armed

forces, were test subjects in any testing program in which humans were exposed to a chemical or biological substance for the purpose of studying or observing the effects of such exposure, that was sponsored, overseen, directed, funded, and/or conducted by the Department of the Army.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Department of the Army is enjoined as

- 2. Forthwith, the Army shall provide at the Army's expense such test subjects with medical care in accordance with its obligations under AR 70-25. In adjudging this standard, the Court reiterates that the Army has allowed a significant lapse of time to occur between its testing programs and its provision of medical care pursuant to AR 70-25, and that the Army has failed to track and monitor test subjects and to develop a registry database for medical follow-up as required by AR 70-25. Test subjects who are diagnosed with or present with a medical condition, such as, but not limited to, PTSD, Hallucinogen Persisting Perception Disorder, neurological disorders associated with chemical exposure, sleep disorders, skin scarring, or any other injuries, ailments, or diseases diagnosed as or plausibly associated with participation in the testing programs shall be provided with medical treatment forthwith.
- 3. The Court is appointing a Special Master to ensure the Army does not continue to unlawfully withhold medical treatment that it is obligated to provide under AR 70-25 to test subjects. The Army will be responsible for paying a reasonable hourly rate for this Special Master.
- 4. Within thirty (30) days of the date of entry of this Injunction (the "Entry Date"), the Army shall file with the Court a report:
 - a. describing the plans and policies it has developed and any research undertaken in order to provide medical treatment to test subjects, and to notify test subjects of these plans and policies, including any medical follow-up planned to detect long-range problems resulting from participation in research;
 - b. describing the efforts it will undertake to study and collect data regarding test subjects' health conditions, and to create a registry of such information;

- c. describing the treatment procedures and protocols developed for the provision of treatment to test subjects, including timelines and deadlines for eligibility determinations and the facilities that will be designated for providing care to ensure that treatment and examinations can be conveniently accessed by class members and care kept reasonably available;
- d. providing class notice communication templates and a class notification plan, for Court approval pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c), to inform the class members of this Injunction, the Army's duty to provide medical care to test subjects pursuant to AR 70-25, and the method for applying for such treatment, including the methods to be used for notification which may include direct mail, online notice, and publication notice; and
- e. describing the method that will be used to facilitate test subjects applying for medical treatment and obtaining medical care expeditiously.
- 5. After this initial report, the Army shall file status reports every three months to update the Court and the Special Master on the implementation of these plans, policies, and procedures. These reports shall include at minimum the following information: how many test subjects have applied for medical care, how many test subjects have had their applications granted, how many test subjects have been provided with medical care, how many test subjects have been denied care, the reasons for any individual denials of medical care, the treatment facility locations being made available for care, and the frequency of visits to those facilities by test subjects.
- 6. After the class notice is distributed at the Army's expense, the Army shall provide all test subjects, who come forward requesting it, with physical and mental medical examinations, and shall conduct an interview to record the test subject's medical history to be used in compiling a test subject registry ("Registry") in compliance with the provisions of AR 70-25 and making eligibility determinations for medical care. The Army shall begin providing these medical examinations, according to the procedures adopted, no later than 60 days after the class notice has been published.

- 7. If the Army takes longer than 45 days to decide a test subject's request for medical care, the test subject may immediately apply for relief from the Special Master, to require an expeditious decision on the application. For any test subject who requests medical care from the Army pursuant to this Injunction but is denied such care, the Army shall inform that test subject that they may appeal the denial of care to the Special Master.
 - a. During this appeal process, the Army shall provide the Special Master and the appealing test subject, within thirty (30) days of the appeal being submitted, with all information it possesses concerning the test subject, subject to and in compliance with the requirements of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA") and Privacy Act. This information shall include their testing records, medical records, all papers related to the application and denial decision, and any information concerning the health effects of the relevant substances, including information in the Registry, information retrieved as part of the Army's compliance with the Court's November 19, 2013 Injunction (ECF No. 545), and/or information available in the Chem-Bio Database, the Mustard Gas Database, the Chemical, Biological, Radiological & Nuclear Defense Information Analysis Center ("CBRNIAC") Database and other related databases created in conjunction with Battelle Memorial Institute, and the Defense Technical Information Center ("DTIC") repository.
 - b. If the Special Master reverses the Army's denial of care decision, that decision by the Special Master will be final, and the Army shall provide the test subject with medical treatment forthwith.
 - c. This Court will also monitor the Army's compliance with this Injunction.
- 8. This injunction shall have no bearing and has no effect on the provision of care or benefits independently performed by the Department of Veterans Affairs ("DVA") under its own statutory and regulatory requirements. Although at the class member's election the Army should provide referrals to DVA facilities and/or private physicians for free medical care, a class

Case 4:09-cv-00037-CW Document 586-1 Filed 11/15/16 Page 6 of 6

1	member's participation in seeking relief under this Injunction shall have no adverse impact on	
2	their DVA provided care, benefits, or compensation.	
3	9. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Injunction and Order.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.	
5	Dated:	
6	The Honorable Claudia Wilken,	
7	District Judge, Northern District of California	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] INJUNCTION PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S FEBRUARY 8, 2016 ORDER	

[PROPOSED] INJUNCTION PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S FEBRUARY 8, 2016 ORDER Case No. CV 09-0037-CW sf-3622897